

Applicant Initiated Interview - Statement of Substance

Application No.: 09/715,872 First Named Applicant: Michael Bleser
Examiner: Rachel L. Porter Art Unit: 3626 Status of Application: Pending

Participants:

(1) Examiner Porter (2) Randall G. Rueth
(3) Francis C. Kowalik (4) _____

Date of Interview: 3/15/2007 Time: 1:30 (PM)

Type of Interview:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____


Issues Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rejection</u>	<u>1-18</u>	<u>Name Change</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments Presented:

Representatives for the Applicants discussed the fact that the Name Change reference was not an enabling disclosure and that it does not provide any disclosure of how one of ordinary skill in the art would go about developing a system or method having the elements recited in the pending claims. Representatives for the Applicants also discussed the fact that the Applicants had conceived and constructively reduced to practice the invention prior to the critical date of the Name Change reference and would consider submitting a 1.131 Declaration.


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

Randall G. Rueth (45,887)